



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/622,544	10/13/2000	Sarkis Barret Kalindjian	40283/183	8561
75	90 04/30/2002	•		
Bernhard D Saxe Foley & Lardner Washington Harbour Suite 500 3000 K Street NW Washington, DC 20007-5109			EXAMINER	
			KIFLE, BRUCK	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3 ,			1624	1
			DATE MAILED: 04/30/2002	t)

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/622,544

Applicant(s)

Kalindjian et al.

Examiner

Bruck Kifle, Ph.D.

Art Unit **1624**



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Period	for Reply	
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TTO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM
af	ter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communi	CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed cation. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will
be	considered timely.	
CC	ommunication.	period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this
- Any	re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b reply received by the Office later than three months after th irned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	y statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). e mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status		
1) X	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Feb 19, 2	2002
2a) 💢	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This ac	tion is non-final.
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under $Ex\ partial$	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposi	tion of Claims	
4) 💢	Claim(s) 1, 3-5, 8, 9, and 12-29	is/are pending in the application.
4	(a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1, 3-5, 8, 9, and 12-29	is/are rejected.
7) 🗌	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applica	tion Papers	
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	objected to by the Examiner.
11) 🗌	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved.
12) 🗌	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
13) 🗆	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
a) 🗆	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:	
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been received.
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	ve been received in Application No
	application from the International Bure	ocuments have been received in this National Stage au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
 14)□	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	
		priority under 35 0.3.C. \$ 113(e).
Attachm		_
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
.,m	Omedion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTU-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/622,544 Page 2

Art Unit: 1624

claim 1.

Applicant's amendments and remarks filed 2/19/02 have been received and reviewed.

Claims 1, 3-5, 8-9 and 12-29 are now pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1, 3-5, 8-9 and 12-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- i) Applicants are advised to replace "W" with N in claim 1 as "W" is no longer present in the structural formula of amended claim 1. Similarly, A, B and X are also no longer present in the structure. Appropriate corrections of the definitions of R³ and Y is required.
- ii) The definition of R⁵, R⁶ and R⁷ as "C₁ to C₁₀ hydrocarbyl, in which up to 3 carbon atoms may be replaced by O or N, and up to 3 hydrogen atoms may be replaced by halogen" is indefinite. One cannot say for sure what is included and what is not within the scope of this group. The term is self contradictory because a hydrocarbyl cannot have a heteroatom present. It is unclear where a heteroatom is permitted and where it is not. One cannot say whether a ring is intended or not. iii) Claims 9 and 10 lack antecedent basis in claim 1. Note, m and n are no longer present in
- iv) In claim 12 one cannot say what compound looks like.
- v) In claim 28 it is unclear which patient needs modifying H₃ receptor activity. One skilled in the art cannot say who is in need thereof and who is not. Nor can one say what is accomplished.

Application/Control Number: 09/622,544 Page 3

Art Unit: 1624

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. A proviso has been included in claim 1 that reads "provided that Y is C₅ to C_{10} alkylene when Z is -N(R⁵)S(O)₂-". This proviso lacks description. Even negative limitations require a description. The MPEP at 2173.05(i) Negative Limitations states "Any negative limitation or exclusionary proviso must have basis in the original disclosure. See Ex parte Grasselli, 231 USPQ 393 (Bd. App. 1983) aff'd mem., 738 F.2d 453 (Fed. Cir. 1984)" and, further, "Any claim containing a negative limitation which does not have basis in the original disclosure should be rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph as failing to comply with the written description requirement." In the instant case, the new concept that has been introduced by the proviso is the specific relationships between the variables Y and Z. This specific relationship of connectivity was previously not disclosed. This notion that the definition of one variable depends on the definitions of other variables is new. The definition of a variable is no longer independent.

Claims 14-28 are of a different scope and have been examined only to the extent of the scope of claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jozic (US 4,372,955). The reference teaches structurally similar compounds that differ from the claimed compounds by the length of the linking carbon chain at Y (see cols. 9-10, compound number 5 and col. 11, compound No. 18). The claims have an added proviso that requires Y to be at least a C_5 alkylene over the C_3 alkylene of compounds 5 and 18 of the reference.

It has been long established that structural relationship varying the size of a linking carbon chain - is per se obvious. Specifically, Ex parte Ruddy 121 USPQ 427 has a C_3 link unpatentable over a C_1 link. Ex parte Nathan, 121 USPQ 349 found the insertion of a C_2H_4 link obvious. In all of these cases, the variation was per-se obvious and did not require a specific teaching.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/622,544 Page 5

Art Unit: 1624

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bruck Kifle whose telephone number is (703) 305-4484.

The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-4556 or (703) 305-3592. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

April 26, 2002

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1624